

Zack M. Davis

22 May 2025

"Measure & Integration" final

80%???

NO!! 48/50

!!!

(1) (a) Dominated convergence theorem. If $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a pointwise convergent sequence of functions on $[0, 1]$ & there exists a function $g(x)$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ & all $x \in [0, 1]$, $|f_k(x)| \leq |g(x)|$, then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f_k(x) dx = \int_0^1 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x) dx.$$

(b) $\cos(x^k)$ is dominated by 1 (i.e., $|\cos(x^k)| \leq 1$), so the hypotheses of the dominated convergence theorem are fulfilled.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \cos(x^k) dx = \int_0^1 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \cos(x^k) dx \quad \text{but } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^k = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 1 \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{so we have } \int_0^1 \cos(0) = \int_0^1 1 = 1$$

(2) (a) $f \in L^1([0, 1])$ means that $\int_0^1 f dx < \infty$

We want $\int_0^1 x^k f(x) dx < \infty$. Let's try integration by parts? $\int u dv = uv - \int v du$

$$u = x^k \quad v = F(x) \quad x^k f(x) \Big|_0^1 - \int_0^1 F(x) k x^{k-1} dx$$

$$du = k x^{k-1} \quad dv = f(x)$$

where F is assuming $F(x)$ is an antiderivative of f ...

Actually, wait. I think this is the wrong approach — instead of directly trying to evaluate $\int_0^1 x^k f(x)$ to show that it's finite, we should show that it's dominated by something integrable. For all $x \in [0, 1]$ & all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $|x^k| \leq 1$, so $|x^k f(x)| \leq |f(x)|$. A function dominated by a L^1 function is itself L^1 .

(b) From part a, we can invoke the dominated convergence theorem.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 x^k f(x) dx = \int_0^1 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^k f(x) dx = 0 \quad (\text{recalling again that } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^k = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 1 \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases})$$

(3) $Tf(x) = f(1/x)$ for T linear $L^1 \rightarrow L^1$

(a) We want to show that T is bounded, & we are given the hint that

$$\int_0^\infty h(x) dx = \int_0^\infty h(1/x) dx. \quad \text{I guess that implies } \|f\| = \int_0^\infty |f(x)| dx \text{ is the norm}$$

— maybe that was already implied by L^1 ? YES

$$\|f(1/x)\| = \int_0^\infty f(1/x) dx = \int_0^\infty f(1/x) dx + \int_0^\infty f(1/x) dx$$

$$= \int_0^\infty f(1-x) dx + \int_0^\infty f(1/x) dx \quad (\text{by the hint})$$

$$= 2 \int_0^\infty f(1/x) dx < \infty \quad (\text{because we know that } f \in L^1)$$

(b) $\|T\| = 2$ from the above

NO, YOU'VE ONLY SHOWN $\|T\| \leq 2$.

- (4) The Hahn-Banach theorem states that a bounded linear functional can be extended without increasing its norm.
 Let $f, g \in V$ with $f \neq g$. The dual space V' is the set of linear functionals from V to its field. Defining a functional $\phi \in V'$ with $\phi(f) \neq \phi(g)$ would seem to be trivial—for example, $\phi(f) = 0$ & $\phi(g) = 1$. But, $\pm$ —what makes this nontrivial is ensuring the existence of a linear functional.

Let $\{e_i\}$ for $i \in A$ be a basis for V .

Then f & g can both be expressed in terms of the basis:

$$f = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \quad \& \quad g = \sum_{\alpha} d_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}.$$

Because $f \neq g$, there exists some α_0 such that $c_{\alpha_0} \neq d_{\alpha_0}$.
 WITH IS IT LINEAR?
 IS IT BOUNDED?

Consider $\phi(f) := c_{\alpha_0}$ (i.e., a functional that extracts the α_0 coordinate; we also have $\phi(g) = d_{\alpha_0}$). Thus $\phi(f) \neq \phi(g)$.

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, ϕ (which one might construe as existing on the subspace spanned by e_{α_0}) can be extended to all of V' , which is quod erat demonstrandum.

THERE'S AN EASIER WAY, DEFINE $\phi: \text{span}\{f-g\} \rightarrow F$ IT'S LINEAR AND BOUNDED,
 $u(f-g) \mapsto u$ THEN EXTEND BY H-B

- (5) Suppose not; that there exists ϵ such that for all N ,

$$\neg (n \geq N \rightarrow |\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon\}| < \epsilon)$$

$$\neg (n \leq N \vee |\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon\}| < \epsilon)$$

$$\exists n \geq N \quad \& \quad |\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon\}| \geq \epsilon$$

We know that $\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0$, which means that

$$\forall \epsilon \exists n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_n - f| < \epsilon.$$

Suppose for a contradiction that there exists ϵ such that for all N ,

there exists $n \geq N$ such that $|\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon\}| \geq \epsilon$.

Let $E := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \epsilon\}$ for an arbitrary ϵ & such corresponding n .

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f_n - f| d\mu \geq \int_E |f_n - f| d\mu \geq \epsilon \mu(E) \geq \epsilon \sup |f_n - f| \quad \text{Then}$$

$$\int_E |f_n - f| d\mu \geq \mu(E) \cdot \inf_{x \in E} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = \epsilon^2$$

But $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n - f| d\mu \geq \int_E |f_n - f| d\mu$, so $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n - f| d\mu \geq \epsilon^2$, but earlier we had supposed that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n - f| < \epsilon$. Contradiction!