Assignment #7

17 March 2025

Abstract

Homework exercises for Prof. Dusty Ross's "Modern Algebra I".

1. Proposition. $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is not a cyclic group.

Proof. Suppose it were. Then it would be generated by a single element. Suppose that element took the form g := (a, b) for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. But $(-a, b) \notin \langle (a, b) \rangle$: you need to take -1g to get -a in the first coördinate, but b is not the second coördinate of -1g. Contradiction!

2. Proposition. $\langle (5,10) \rangle$ and $\langle (10,10) \rangle$ are subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}/30\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/40\mathbb{Z}$ with order 12.

Discussion. I see what this exercise is trying to do: any subgroup of order 12 is going to have to involve "components" from both $\mathbb{Z}/30\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/40\mathbb{Z}$ (because 40 doesn't have any factors of 3 and 30 doesn't have enough factors of 2).

```
Computation. We compute \langle (5,10) \rangle = \{(5,10), (10,20), (15,30), (20,0), (25,10), (0,20), (5,30), (10,0), (15,10), (20,20), (25,30), (0,0) \}. And likewise \langle (10,10) \rangle = \{(10,10), (20,20), (0,30), (10,0), (20,10), (0,20), (10,30), (20,0), (0,10), (10,20), (20,30), (0,0) \}.
```

3. a. Proposition. $\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/60\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. By Corollary 2 of Gallian's Theorem 8.2, they're both isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

b. Proposition. $\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/15\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The latter is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$. The order-four cyclic groups can't be further factorized.

4. Proposition. $\langle (1\ 2\ 3\ 4) \rangle$ is not a normal subgroup of S_4 .

```
Proof. We compute the elements of the subgroup (1\ 2\ 3\ 4)(1\ 2\ 3\ 4) = (4\ 2)(1\ 3) = (1\ 3)(2\ 4); (1\ 3)(2\ 4)(1\ 2\ 3\ 4) = (1\ 4\ 3\ 2); (1\ 4\ 3\ 2)(1\ 2\ 3\ 4) = (1)(2)(3)(4) = 1.
```

This allows us to compute the cosets, and we find that they are not equal. This was found via a Python program (modified from a program written for assignment #5, new code reproduced below, shared code omitted):

```
def generate_subgroup(element):
    working = element
    h = set()
    for i in range(10):
        new = working * element
        h.add(new)
        working = new
    return h

if __name__ == "__main__":
    h = generate_subgroup(Permutation([2, 3, 4, 1]))
    s_4 = [Permutation(p) for p in permutations((1, 2, 3, 4))]
    left_coset_map = defaultdict(list)
    right_coset_map = defaultdict(list)
    for element in s_4:
```

The program reveals that

Whereupon we observe that, e.g., $(1\ 2)H \neq H(1\ 2)$.

5. Proposition. Suppose H < G and |G|/|H| = 2. Then $H \triangleleft G$.

Proof. There are two left and two right cosets of H, because Lagrange (|G|/|H| = |G:H|). On the left, one contains the identity, and the other contains the elements $G\backslash H$. Ditto on the right. So the left and right cosets are the same.